	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/991,458	STEVENS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ashwin Mehta	1638
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>	
(1) <u>Ashwin Mehta</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David Saliwanchik</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 March 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: scope of enablment		
Claims discussed: 1, 6, 7, 18, 38, 40, 42, 43		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner's amendments to the claims were discussed and approved.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	t/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)